

MEMORANDUM OF REMARKS AND CHANGES
TO PREVIOUS VERSION OF ABSTRACT

FOR:

Patent Application of
[Twilight Golf Balls LLC]

INVENTOR: Redwine, et. al.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 09,927,035

ORIGINAL FILED: August 09, 2001

TITLE: Chemiluminescent Golf Ball

GROUP A/U: 3711

EXAMINER: ALVIN HUNTER

DCOKET NO.: N/A

Page ONE:

Line 13:

Title: Was changed pursuant to Examiners' instruction and MPEP standards.

Page FOUR:

"*Drawing Figures Description*" was changed to reflect what was actually submitted in the pictured scale drawings from original filing and art renderings as improved in a later submission.

Page FIVE AND SIX:

"*Reference Numerals In Drawings*"

Changed pursuant to Office Action Summary requiring more complete description of illustration and to mirror actual viewpoints in text writings accordingly.

PAGE SIX AND SEVEN:

"Description Fig. 1- Preferred Embodiment"

Changed to conform to Office Action Summary by Examiner for clarification of "layer" versus "layers" as previously stated in original filing.

A restated and definitive paragraph has been provided to eliminate confusion.

PAGE SEVEN:

"Description-Fig. 2A and 2B- Additional Embodiments"

Modified and restated to conform with Office Action Summary Report 04/12/2002.

PAGE EIGHT:

"Alternative Embodiments" has been fully *deleted*. without replacement thereof. Not applicable under standards of USPTO and initial filing.

PAGE EIGHT:

"Operation" / Paragraph three, Line 1

"Either" has been deleted to clarify a more definitive performance of the standards contained in this passage of the invention and to eliminate any ambiguity concerning the performance of the invention.

"Operation" / Paragraph three, Line 2 and 3

Has been fully deleted and NOT replaced. Line deleted due to improper references to invention that are not applicable to patent desired presently.

PAGE EIGHT:

"Conclusions and Ramifications" (Cont'd):

Paragraph one, of Page Eight and Nine, Line 1

Has been partially stricken from the paragraph. It has been more definitively defined in paragraph one, Line 2, as "partitioning element" pursuant to clarifications and the restated requirements under the Office Action Summary of the examiner.

PAGE EIGHT:

Claims:

Lettered:

- a- The plurality of “*or layers*” has been deleted from original submission in order to clarify the singular rather than the plural of the description for the invention.
- b- (1)“Core shell” has been deleted to create conformity with the entire abstract in the use of terminology to describe the action of the invention more concisely and uniformly throughout the abstract. It has been replaced with “chamber” as referenced in other segments of the document.
(2) “Enclosing” has been deleted from final submission as a nonessential word for the intent of the claims intended to be made;
- c- Lines 1, 2 and 3 have replacement wording to conform with the uniformity of other word descriptions to the invention to enable consistency within the abstract as a whole. The replacement terms are intended to allow better specificity in terms of the actions of the invention over the previously utilized or deleted terms contained in the original abstract.
- d- Newly added for clarification of “C” and permits for complete deletion of F and E in the original abstract.
- e- Deleted in its entirety.
- f- Deleted in its entirety to avoid confusion in the invention.

It is our belief this foregoing data should arrest the recent Final Office Action Summary in accordance with our telephone communication and clear the path for our patent. Please call 310.277-3388, ask for Mr. Anderson should you have any further questions concerning the enclosed. As we view it, the above amendments are strictly EXPLANATIONS. There are NO ARGUMENTS to or objections against the Final Office Action, at this moment, as presented, and this amendment is hereby considered a reply and answer to the previous abstract as submitted.

Kindly,



BY: _____
Christopher Redwine